

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/831,944	12/12/2001	Israel Sarussi	P-2040-US	9891	
75	590 10/24/2002				
Eitan Pearl Latzer & Cohen Zedek One Crystal Park Suite 210 2011 Crystal Drive			EXAMINER		
			WINAKUR, ERIC FRANK		
Arlington, VA	22202-3709		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3736	· · <u>-</u>	
			DATE MAILED: 10/24/2002	!	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					S.M.		
		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/83	31,944	SARUSSI, ISRAE	L		
		Exam	iner	Art Unit			
		Eric F	Winakur	3736			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears or	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress		
A SH THE - Exte afte - If th - If No - Fail - Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (1) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum is ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In I munication. 30) days, a reply within th tatutory period will apply a y will, by statute, cause th	no event, however, may e statutory minimum of and will expire SIX (6) N e application to become	vareply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely fONTHS from the mailing date of this co			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action	on is non-final.				
3)□ Disposi	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the praction of Claims				e merits is		
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the	application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn fron	n consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,12-23 and 26-32</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🛛	Claim(s) 11,24 and 25 is/are object	ed to.					
, —	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or electi	on requirement.				
Applica	tion Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
11)	, .] disapproved by the Examin	er.		
12\□	If approved, corrected drawings are re						
, —	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examine	•				
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			0 0 110(a) (d) an (0			
•	Acknowledgment is made of a clair	n for foreign prioni	y under 35 U.S.	o. § 119(a)-(u) of (i).			
a	All b) Some * c) None of:	, decuments hove	haan rassiyad				
	1. Certified copies of the priority			a Application No			
	2. Certified copies of the priority				Ctoro		
*	 Copies of the certified copies application from the Inter See the attached detailed Office action 	national Bureau (F	PCT Rule 17.2(a))).	Stage		
14)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priori	ity under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).		
	a) The translation of the foreign la Acknowledgment is made of a claim						
Attachme	nt(s)						
2) 🔲 Not	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT			

Application/Control Number: 09/831,944

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 9, 21, and 22 are objected to because of the following informalities: the term -- the -- should be inserted before "radiation source" and "detector" claims 9 and 21. The term -- the -- should be inserted before "sensor components" and "electronic circuit" in claim 22. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 29 32 provide for the use of a sensor or system, but, since the claims do not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 29 - 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under

Art Unit: 3736

35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claim improperly includes a body portion (tissue) as part of the claimed apparatus.

Double Patenting

6. Applicant is advised that should claim 17 be found allowable, claim 20 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/831,944

Art Unit: 3736

- 8. Claims 1 6, 10, 13 18, 20 23, and 26 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Goodman et al. The reference teaches an optical sensor including an emitter, a detector, and an adhesive layer for fastening the sensor to a subject to minimize noise in the detected signal (column 4, line 59 column 5, line 2).
- 9. Claims 1, 4, 13, 14, 22, 23, and 27 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Muz. The reference teaches an optical sensor including an emitter, a detector, and adhesive gel covering the optical elements for maintaining contact with a subject during measurements (column 3, line 59 column 4, line 7).
- 10. Claims 1 4, 7, 10, 12 16, 19, and 27 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ogawa et al. Ogawa et al. teach an oximeter probe with emitters and detectors which protrude through adhesive tape for making good contact with a subject's skin (see column 2).
- 11. Claims 1 9, 13 21, and 27 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rafert et al. Rafert et al. teach a conformal oximeter sensor that includes an emitter and a detector encased with cover portions (partitions) and an adhesive layer for securing the device to a subject (column 2, lines 31 58; column 5, lines 17 40).

Allowable Subject Matter

12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Delonzor et al. ('841 and '136) teach alternate oximetry sensor designs. None of the prior art teaches or suggests a radiance based diagnostic sensor that includes a controlling device that is a pressure or proximity sensor as set forth in the claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/831,944

Art Unit: 3736

Page 5

13. Claims 11, 24, and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric F Winakur whose telephone number is 703/308-3940. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 7:30-5; alternate Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 703/308-3130. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703/305-3590 for regular communications and 703/305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703/308-0858.

Eric F Winakur Primary Examiner Art Unit 3736

October 21, 2002